





**Figure 1.** Southern blot hybridization using  $\lambda$ DNA digested HindIII (40, 8, and 1.6 pg). From the top bands (base pairs), 23,130; 9,416; 6,557; 4,361; 2,322; 2,027; 564.

fluorescence a little higher than FITC in  $3 \times 10^{-7}$  mol  $\text{dm}^{-3}$  phosphate buffer (pH 11.0) containing 0.5% DMF,<sup>1</sup> whereas **2b** had weak fluorescence. These derivatives had excitation and emission maxima in similar regions. The fluorescence intensities of **2** are shown in Table 1. Derivatives **2a-2e** were then efficiently converted to phosphates **3a-3e**, substrates for ALP, on treatment with phosphorus oxychloride and pyridine.

We studied the DNA detection using the phosphates **3a-3e**, as substrates for ALP bound to the probe  $\lambda$ DNA through the digoxigenin antibody. First, they were subjected to the spot test.<sup>11</sup> The detection limits for  $\lambda$ DNA on the membrane using various fluorescein derivatives are shown in Table 1.

$\lambda$ DNA was found to be detectable to the amount of 5 fg by using phosphates **3a**, **3b**, **3c** and **3d**. The spots were slightly blurred by nonspecific adsorption in the case of the phosphate **3a**, whereas phosphates **3c** and **3d** gave distinguishably clear spots without diffusion and nonspecific adsorption. Fluorescence intensity is not always related to the sensitivity for the DNA detection, e.g., the phosphate derivative **3b** having weak fluorescence, showed high sensitivity (5 fg DNA). On the contrary, the lowest sensitivity (80 fg) was obtained in the detection using the phosphate **3e**, **2e** showing strong fluorescence. This was due to both the low substantivity of its OH form and the high nonspecific adsorption which caused significant background signals. The high sensitivity in the detection of membrane-bound DNA is ascribed to not only the intrinsically strong fluorescence that fluorescein phosphate derivatives showed after hydrolysis with ALP, but also the high substantivity of the hydrolyzed compounds and the low nonspecific adsorption of the phosphates. In addition, it should be noted that phosphate derivatives revealed substantially non-fluorescence<sup>12</sup> and, thus, a highly efficient off-and-on system for fluorescence could be attained before and after hydrolysis of the phosphates with ALP.

The result of Southern blot hybridization<sup>13</sup> using the phosphate derived from 3'-O-(1-naphthyl)methyl derivative **3d** is shown in Figure 1. The result using CSPD is also shown for

comparison. The fluorescence signals were recorded on a fluorescence detector, whereas the CSPD chemiluminescent signals were recorded on exposure on an X-ray film. DNA could be detected to the amount of 0.1 pg ( $3.6 \times 10^{-20}$  mol) in both methods.<sup>14</sup> The operation transferring the target DNA to membrane and hybridizing the probe to the target DNA may bring about a reduction of the sensitivity of Southern blot hybridization in comparison with the results in the spot test.

In summary, the present study proved phosphates of N-(4-biphenylcarbonyl)-3'-O-alkyl-5-aminofluoresceins to be excellent fluorescent substrates for alkaline phosphatase bound to the DNA probe, providing an efficient method for the detection of membrane-bound DNA. The high sensitivity for the DNA detection using fluorescein derivatives owes much to the non-fluorescent property of the phosphate form as well as the water-insoluble, highly fluorescent property of the fluorescein derivatives after hydrolysis with alkaline phosphatase. Furthermore, the alkaline phosphatase-linked fluorescence assay provides a convenient way of DNA detection because the high and long-lasting fluorescence enables the measurement by the CCD camera.

## References and Notes

- J. Sambrook, E. F. Fritsch, and T. M. Maniatis, *Molecular Cloning 2nd ed.*, Cold Springs Harbor Laboratory Press (1989).
- S. Beck, T. O'Keeffe, J. M. Coull, and H. Koster, *Nucleic Acids Res.*, **17**, 5115 (1989); G. An, G. Luo, R. W. Veltri, and S. M. O'Hara, *Biotechniques*, **20**, 342 (1996).
- For example, see J. Ju, I. Kheterpal, J. R. Scherer, C. Ruman, C. W. Fuller, A. N. Glazer, and R. A. Mathies, *Anal. Biochem.*, **231**, 131 (1995) and references cited therein.
- Y. X. Ci, Y. Z. Li, and X. J. Liu, *Anal. Chem.*, **67**, 1785 (1995).
- T. K. Christopoulos and E. P. Diamandis, *Anal. Chem.*, **64**, 342 (1992).
- S. Fujita, M. Momiyama, Y. Kondoh, N. Kagiya, S. H. Hori, and T. Toru, *Anal. Chem.*, **66**, 1347 (1994); N. Kagiya, S. Fujita, M. Momiyama, Y. Kondoh, M. Nishiyauchi, and S. H. Hori, *Acta Histochem. Cytochem.*, **28**, 581 (1995).
- C. Munkholm, D. R. Parkinson, and D. R. Walt, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **112**, 2608 (1990); Y. Wang, T. Ikeda, A. Ueno, and F. Toda, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, **34**, 4971 (1993); H. Ebato, C. A. Gentry, J. N. Herron, W. Muller, Y. Okahata, H. Ringsdorf, and P. A. Suci, *Anal. Chem.*, **66**, 1683 (1994).
- J. Ju, C. Ruan, C. R. Fuller, A. N. Glazer, and R. A. Mathies, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., U.S.A.*, **92**, 4347 (1995).
- All new compounds were confirmed by spectral and elemental analyses.
- The monoether of fluorescein derivatives is known to be prepared in two steps but in low yield; see J. E. T. Corrie, and D. R. Trentham, *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1*, **1995**, 1993.
- The spot test was carried out as previously described.<sup>6</sup>
- Non-fluorescence of the fluorescein phosphates is due to the lack of the phenolic OH, since strong fluorescence derived from the quinoid form is observed in a basic medium; see Z. Huang, N. A. Olson, W. You, and R. P. Haugland, *J. Immunol. Meth.*, **149**, 261 (1992).
- The procedure for the southern blot hybridization is essentially the same as described previously.<sup>6</sup> Fluorometric detection was carried out with a fluorescence detector Epilight-UV-1100 (Aisin Cosmos R & D). Chemiluminescent signals were detected by exposure to X-ray films (Eastman Kodak) for 30 min after 30 min of preincubation.
- Other known methods are much less sensitive than our method; ALP-BCIP/NBT; detection limit, ca, 5 pg pBR 322 DNA (size 2 kb); see J. D. Norton, J. Connor, and R. J. Avery, *Nucleic Acids Res.*, **12**, 3445 (1984). POD-Luminol method; detection limit, ca, 5 pg; see C. Kessler, *Nonisotopic DNA Probe Techniques*, Academic Press (1992).